244159

### Adams, Hope

From:

AARP South Carolina <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Martha Malcomb

<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>

Sent:

Thursday, July 28, 2016 9:08 AM

To:

**PSC Contact** 

Subject:

Docket Number 2016-223-E

Jul 28, 2016

South Carolina Public Service Commission SC

Dear South Carolina Commission,

The Public Service Commission needs to think about the consumers they represent when deciding whether or not to let SCE&G have yet

another rate increase. Consumers are continuing to pay in advance for projects at the VC Summer plant in Jenkinsville, SC.

Our state law allows utility companies to charge consumers more for cost overruns for big projects like building a nuclear power plant.

This project has cost \$1.5 billion more than originally estimated and guess who is footing the bill? I and other South Carolina consumers are paying, and it does not appear SCE&G is being held accountable for the project delays.

This is not fair and places a big burden on my personal budget. Why should I have to decide between paying my electric bill, buying groceries or medication or any of the other things I and my family need?

The PSC needs to get to the bottom of this and protect consumers from high utility costs. SCE&G's residential customers already pay more than 16% of our electric bills for this project. And if this new rate increase is approved, we will pay almost 19% more. Will it ever end?

Sincerely,

Ms. Martha Malcomb

GREENVILLE, SC 29607-6527

From: AARP South Carolina <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of John Goodale

<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>

**Sent:** Thursday, July 28, 2016 9:08 AM

To: PSC\_Contact

Subject: Docket Number 2016-223-E

Jul 28, 2016

South Carolina Public Service Commission SC

Dear South Carolina Commission,

The Public Service Commission needs to think about the consumers they represent when deciding whether or not to let SCE&G have yet

another rate increase. Consumers are continuing to pay in advance for projects at the VC Summer plant in Jenkinsville, SC.

Our state law allows utility companies to charge consumers more for cost overruns for big projects like building a nuclear power plant.

This project has cost \$1.5 billion more than originally estimated and guess who is footing the bill? I and other South Carolina consumers are paying, and it does not appear SCE&G is being held accountable for the project delays.

This is not fair and places a big burden on my personal budget. Why should I have to decide between paying my electric bill, buying groceries or medication or any of the other things I and my family need?

The PSC needs to get to the bottom of this and protect consumers from high utility costs. SCE&G's residential customers already pay more than 16% of our electric bills for this project. And if this new rate increase is approved, we will pay almost 19% more. Will it ever end?

Sincerely,

Mr. John Goodale
COLUMBIA, SC 29212-8275

From: AARP South Carolina <aarpwebact@action:aarp.org> on behalf of Kathleen Perini

<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>

**Šent:** Thursday, July 28, 2016 9:08 AM

PSC\_Contact

Subject: Docket Number 2016-223-E

Jul 28, 2016

To:

South Carolina Public Service Commission SC

Dear South Carolina Commission,

The Public Service Commission needs to think about the consumers they represent when deciding whether or not to let SCE&G have yet

another rate increase. Consumers are continuing to pay in advance for projects at the VC Summer plant in Jenkinsville, SC.

Our state law allows utility companies to charge consumers more for cost overruns for big projects like building a muclear power plant.

This project has cost \$1.5 billion more than originally estimated and guess who is footing the bill? I and other South Carolina consumers are paying, and it does not appear SCE&G is being held accountable for the project delays.

This is not fair and places a big burden on my personal budget. Why should I have to decide between paying my electric bill, buying groceries or medication or any of the other things I and my family need?

The PSC needs to get to the bottom of this and protect consumers from high utility costs. SCE&G's residential customers already pay more than 16% of our electric bills for this project. And if this new rate increase is approved; we will pay almost 19% more. Will it ever end?

Sincerely,

GREER, SC 29650-5314

From: AARP South Carolina <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Michael Thomas

<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>

**Sent:** Thursday, July 28, 2016 9:08 AM

To: PSC\_Contact

Subject: Docket Number 2016-223-E

Jul 28, 2016

South Carolina Public Service Commission SC

Dear South Carolina Commission,

The Public Service Commission needs to think about the consumers they represent when deciding whether or not to let SCE&G have yet

another rate increase. Consumers are continuing to pay in advance for projects at the VE Summer plant in Jenkinsville, SC.

Our state law allows utility companies to charge consumers more for cost overruns for big projects like building a nuclear power plant.

This project has cost \$1.5 billion more than originally estimated and guess who is footing the bill? I and other South Carolina consumers are paying, and it does not appear SCE&G is being held accountable for the project delays.

This is not fair and places a big burden on my personal budget. Why should I have to decide between paying my electric bill, buying groceries or medication or any of the other things I and my family need?

The PSC needs to get to the bottom of this and protect consumers from high utility costs. SCE&G's residential customers already pay more than 16% of our electric bills for this project. And if this new rate increase is approved, we will pay almost 19% more. Will it ever end?

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Thomas

GREER, SC 29651-4927

From: AARP South Carolina <aarpwebact@action.aarp.org> on behalf of Barbara Hancock

<aarpwebact@action.aarp.org>

**Sent:** Thursday, July 28, 2016 9:08 AM

To: PSC\_Contact

Subject: Docket Number 2016-223-E

Jul 28, 2016

South Carolina Public Service Commission SC

Dear South Carolina Commission,

The Public Service Commission needs to think about the consumers they represent when deciding whether or not to let SCE&G have yet

another rate increase. Consumers are continuing to pay in advance for projects at the VC Summer plant in Jenkinsville, SC.

Our state law allows utility companies to charge consumers more for cost overruns for big projects like building a nuclear power plant.

This project has cost \$1.5 billion more than originally estimated and guess who is footing the bill? I and other South Carolina consumers are paying, and it does not appear SCE&G is being held accountable for the project delays.

This is not fair and places a big burden on my personal budget. Why should I have to decide between paying my electric bill, buying groceries or medication or any of the other things I and my family need?

The PSC needs to get to the bottom of this and protect consumers from high utility costs. SCE&G's residential customers already pay more than 16% of our electric bills for this project. And if this new rate increase is approved, we will pay almost 19% more. Will it ever end?

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara Hancock

BENNETTSVILLE, SC 29512-2814